

REMARKS

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks. Support for amended claim 1 can be found in the specification at page 2, lines 26-29.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9-16, 18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tuazon et al., Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry 17:179-199, 1993 (Tuazon). Claims 3, 5, 7, 8, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuazon. The applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

The applicant has a much larger concentration of CHClF₂ as compared to Tuazon.

Concentration of CHClF₂ in Tuazon is as follows:

Tuazon discloses at the top of page 181 line 2 that the initial “the initial Cl₂ and HFC or HCFC concentrations were (in molecules cm⁻³ units): Cl₂ (1.2 – 16 x 10¹⁴ molecules /cm³ in air”

Calculation of concentration

Avogadro's constant:

$$1 \text{ mol} = 6 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules (in 22.4 liters)}$$



$$2.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ molecules (in 1 liter)}$$

conversion (1 m)³ = (1,000 liters)

$$(1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^3 = 1 \times 10^3 (\text{liter}))$$

$$1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{liter}$$



$$2.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ molecules in } 1 \text{ cm}^3.$$

Thus, pure CHClF₂ has 2.6 x 10¹⁹ molecules of CHClF₂ per cm³.

Tuazon has 1.2 to 16 x 10¹⁴ molecules of CHClF₂ per cm³.

The applicant's reaction mixture:

According to [0011] of the applicant's published specification (US 2007/0197826), the concentration of HFC-22 (which is CHClF₂) is at least 5 mol-%. The pressure is preferably at least 1 bar (abs.), [0009].

Thus, in the applicant's reaction mixture, the concentration of CHClF₂ is at least 2.6 x 10¹⁹ molecules in 1 cm³, multiplied by 0.05 = 1.3 x 10¹⁸ molecules in 1 cm³.

Thus, the applicant's concentration is at least 0.8 x 10³ higher (if Tuazon applies the upper limit of 16 x 10¹⁴ molecules in 1 cm³ (1.3 x 10¹⁸ molecules in 1 cm³/ 16 x 10¹⁴ molecules in 1 cm³). If one of ordinary skill in the art applies the lower concentration of Tuazon (1.2 x 10¹⁴ molecules in 1 cm³) the applicant's concentration is over a factor of 10 greater (1 x 10⁴). Therefore, Tuazon teaches away from the applicant's claimed invention.

The applicant believes that one of ordinary skill in the art could not optimize the range of Tuazon to fall within the applicant's claimed invention since Tuazon teaches a range (1.2 – 16 x 10¹⁴ molecules /cm³ in air) and this range teaches away from the applicant's claimed invention. For the above reasons, this rejection should be withdrawn.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 13146-00004-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: September 9, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/
Ashley I. Pezzner
Registration No.: 35,646
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207
(302) 658-9141
(302) 658-5614 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant